

MID CAP VALUE DIVIDEND

FACT SHEET | June 30, 2019

STRATEGY

- Seek to invest in attractively-valued companies generating positive free cash flow and improving returns
- Use integrated investment approach that combines proprietary quantitative screen and original fundamental research
- Employ bottom-up, team-based stock selection process
- Emphasize strict adherence to our style and risk control measures

Top Ten Holdings^{2,3} (%)

Expedia Group, Inc.	3.0
Southwest Gas Holdings, Inc.	2.7
Grifols, S.A. Sponsored ADR Class B	2.6
Viacom Inc. Class B	2.5
Kansas City Southern	2.4
Enerplus Corporation	2.4
Quest Diagnostics Incorporated	2.4
Sun Communities, Inc.	2.4
Invitation Homes, Inc.	2.3
Six Flags Entertainment Corporation	2.2
Total (% of portfolio)	24.9%

Performance¹

Periods Ended 6/30/19 (%)	QTD	YTD	Annualized Returns			
			1 Year	3 Years	5 Years	10 Years
Mid Cap Value Dividend (gross)	4.56	17.56	2.17	7.51	7.61	13.29
Mid Cap Value Dividend (net)	4.50	17.29	1.54	6.76	6.84	12.50
Russell Midcap [®] Value Index ⁴	3.19	18.02	3.68	8.95	6.72	14.56
Calendar Year (%)	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013
Mid Cap Value Dividend (gross)	-13.33	7.40	24.40	-0.28	14.08	32.17
Mid Cap Value Dividend (net)	-13.98	6.60	23.48	-1.03	13.24	31.21
Russell Midcap [®] Value Index ⁴	-12.29	13.34	20.00	-4.78	14.75	33.46

Segall Bryant & Hamill acquired Denver Investment Advisors LLC on 4/30/2018. Performance results before this date reflect returns generated by the portfolio managers at Denver Investment Advisors LLC.

Portfolio Characteristics^{2,5}

	Mid Cap Value Dividend	Russell Midcap [®] Value ⁴
Weighted Average Market Capitalization (\$ Mil)	\$11,110	\$15,669
Median Market Capitalization (\$ Mil)	\$8,786	\$7,893
Price/Book Value	2.0x	1.9x
Return on Assets	5.7%	4.7%
Long-Term Debt/Capital	39.1%	40.5%
Dividend Yield (1 year trailing)	2.4%	2.3%
Beta (3 Years)	0.98	-
Standard Deviation (3 Years)	13.33%	13.19%
Tracking Error (3 Years)	3.25%	-
Turnover (3 year average)	82%	-
Number of Holdings	56	587

Sector Allocation²

	Mid Cap Value Dividend	Russell Midcap [®] Value ⁴	Relative Weights
Communication Services	4.6	3.2	1.4
Consumer Discretionary	10.2	8.4	1.8
Consumer Staples	3.4	4.7	-1.3
Energy	2.4	5.4	-3.0
Financials	22.6	17.8	4.8
Health Care	7.9	7.1	0.8
Industrials	7.7	12.7	-5.0
Information Technology	9.9	9.0	0.9
Materials	3.4	6.0	-2.6
Real Estate	14.9	14.0	0.9
Utilities	11.2	11.4	-0.2
Cash	1.9	0.0	1.9

¹Data is based on firm's Mid Cap Value Dividend composite. Past performance does not guarantee future results and future performance may be lower or higher than the performance presented. See Performance Disclosure for additional performance information.

²Information presented is for a representative portfolio which is an account in the composite that we believe most closely reflects current portfolio management style for the strategy. Performance is not a consideration in the selection of the representative portfolio. The information of the representative portfolio shown may differ from that of the composite and of the other accounts in the composite. Information on this page is considered supplemental information to the Performance Disclosure. Weights may not sum to 100% due to rounding.

³The specific securities identified and described do not represent all the securities purchased, sold or recommended for clients in the composite and no assumption should be made that such securities or future recommendations will be profitable in the future.

⁴Source: FactSet

⁵Standard Deviation, Beta and Tracking Error statistics based on monthly data. Three years. Source: eVestment Alliance.

Market Overview

In the second quarter of 2019, the market was on a roller-coaster ride, struggling to process trade issues, Federal Reserve (Fed) commentary and slowing economic growth to find a support level. The drawdown in May was followed by a solid rebound in June, resulting in a strong finish to the quarter, albeit with heightened volatility.

Portfolio Performance

The Segall Bryant & Hamill Mid Cap Value Dividend portfolio appreciated 4.6%* for the second quarter, ahead of the 3.2% return of the Russell Midcap® Value Index. Stocks with more defensive characteristics such as low leverage, less volatile earnings and higher returns outperformed the benchmark, providing a tailwind for our strategy. However, the strategy also experienced headwinds, as non-dividend paying stocks outperformed dividend-paying stocks and value remained out of favor with growth continuing its multi-quarter trend of outperformance. Despite these continued style headwinds, the strategy outperformed due primarily to Selection effect.

Contributors to Performance

Sectors that contributed most to relative performance in the quarter were Consumer Discretionary, Health Care and Real Estate. The top contributor in the Consumer Discretionary sector was toy seller and marketer, Hasbro, Inc. (HAS). After starting the year by bracing investors for a tough first quarter due to the bankruptcy of Toys R Us and holiday calendar shifts, the company surprised investors with strong revenue and profit growth and indications that fundamentals were improving globally, with new product launches ahead of expectations. Quest Diagnostics Incorporated (DGX), a leading provider of medical diagnostics, was a top contributor in the Health Care sector as volume trends improved from expanded health plan access (now in-network with the largest health insurer in the country, United Healthcare) and more favorable weather.

Detractors from Performance

Sectors that detracted most from relative performance in the quarter were Utilities, Materials and Energy. Utility holding Vistra Energy Corp. (VST), a gas and coal fired power generator that operates in Texas and the Northeast, was the biggest detractor in the Utility sector. The underperformance was caused by worsening sentiment for merchant power producers in the second quarter, driven by a pullback in power price futures. Energy holding Range Resources Corporation (RRC), a natural gas focused exploration and production company operating primarily in Pennsylvania in the Marcellus shale, suffered during the quarter despite strong operational performance as a weak natural gas price environment, driven by global macro-economic fears, pressured energy stocks.

Outlook and Positioning

The market has struggled to make progress since the fall of last year as slowing growth, heightened trade tensions and an extended economic cycle have kept a lid on earnings growth and multiple expansion. The second quarter ended on a high note, driven by the expectation that central banks will intervene to prevent a market correction. If so, the portfolio is well-positioned as mid-cap dividend-paying stocks have historically outperformed when the Fed has cut interest rates. Our focus on quality dividend-paying stocks that are attractively valued has served clients well in periods of heightened uncertainty such as this, and we believe it will continue to do so in the future.

*Preliminary return.

Top 5 Contributors/Detractors (By Stock)^{2,6}

Top 5 Contributors	Average Weight	Absolute Contribution to Return	Top 5 Detractors	Average Weight	Absolute Contribution to Return
Cerner Corporation	1.70	0.42	Skyworks Solutions, Inc.	0.70	-0.19
Hasbro, Inc.	1.89	0.42	Mosaic Company	0.88	-0.25
Expedia Group, Inc.	2.56	0.35	Enerplus Corporation	2.70	-0.26
Everest Re Group, Ltd.	2.29	0.34	Vistra Energy Corp.	2.40	-0.30
Quest Diagnostics Incorporated	2.18	0.27	Range Resources Corporation	1.35	-0.68

⁶The securities identified on this chart were determined after consistently calculating the weight of each holding in the representative account multiplied by the rate of return for that holding during the period. The securities identified do not represent all of the securities purchased, sold or recommended for advisory clients. You may obtain a complete list showing the contribution of each holding in the representative account to the overall account performance during the period presented by calling (303) 312-5000.

The performance data quoted represents past performance and does not guarantee future results. Current performance may be lower or higher than the performance data quoted. Investment return and principal value will vary, and initial investments may be worth more or less than their original investment. To obtain current performance as of the most recent month-end and for important performance disclosures, please see the strategy's composite performance at www.sbhic.com.

The Manager Commentaries contain certain forward-looking statements about the factors that may affect future performance. These statements are based on portfolio management's predictions and expectations concerning certain future events and their expected impact on the strategy, such as performance of the economy as a whole and of specific industry sectors, changes in the levels of interest rates, the impact of developing world events, and other factors that may influence the future performance of the strategy. Portfolio management believes these forward-looking statements to be reasonable, although they are inherently uncertain and difficult to predict. Actual events may cause adjustments in portfolio management strategies from those currently expected to be employed.

Performance Disclosure: Mid Cap Value Dividend Composite

Year	— Composite Assets—			Composite Gross of Fees Annual Return (%)	Composite Net of Fees Annual Return (%)	Russell Midcap® Value Index (%)	Composite 3 Year Standard Deviation (%)	Index 3 Year Standard Deviation (%)	Composite Dispersion (%)	Total Firm Assets (Incl. Model Portfolios)* (\$Bil)	Total Firm Assets (\$Bil)
	Dollars (\$ millions)	% of Firm Assets	Composite Accounts								
2018	82	<1.0	1	-13.38	-14.03	-12.29	12.57	12.13	-	18.627	18.401
2017	116	1.7	2	7.40	6.60	13.34	10.71	10.47	0.29	7.284	6.995
2016	80	1.1	1	24.40	23.48	20.00	11.10	11.46	-	7.476	7.169
2015	52	0.7	1	-0.28	-1.03	-4.78	10.14	10.86	-	7.606	7.382
2014	58	1.0	1	14.08	13.24	14.75	9.06	9.95	-	9.596	9.373
2013	53	1.0	1	32.17	31.21	33.46	11.99	13.89	-	10.009	9.794
2012	40	<1.0	1	13.75	12.97	18.51	15.01	17.00	-	9.565	9.343
2011	43	<1.0	1	1.19	0.51	-1.38	19.62	23.10	-	9.389	9.101
2010	46	1.0	1	21.59	20.85	24.75	-	-	-	8.989	8.711
2009	49	1.0	1	33.51	32.64	34.21	-	-	-	8.038	7.837

*Number includes a portion of assets where Segall Bryant & Hamill does not have discretionary trading authority. This information is supplemental to fully compliant presentation.

- 1) Segall Bryant & Hamill is an independent investment advisor registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940. Segall Bryant & Hamill provides fundamental investment management services to various institutional and private investors and mutual funds. Denver Investment Advisors LLC (aka Denver Investments) was acquired by Segall Bryant & Hamill on April 30, 2018. Prior to this date, performance results were achieved through the legacy firm, Denver Investments.
- 2) This composite was created in January 1998 (style inception was 1/1/1998). All returns are computed using a time-weighted total rate of return. The composite is defined to include all fee-paying, discretionary accounts managed according to the Mid Cap Value Dividend strategy. The composite includes all actively managed equity accounts that are managed to the Russell Midcap® Value Index and primarily invest in mid-capitalization U.S. value equities. The Russell Midcap® Value Index is an unmanaged index that measures the performance of those Russell Midcap companies with lower price-to-book ratios and lower forecasted growth values. The stocks are also members of the Russell 1000® Value Index. FTSE Russell is the source and owner of the Russell Index data contained in this material and all trademarks and copyrights related thereto. Any further dissemination or redistribution is strictly prohibited. FTSE Russell is not responsible for the formatting or configuration of this material or for any inaccuracy in Segall Bryant & Hamill's presentation thereof. Index returns are not covered by the report of the independent verifiers.
- 3) Gross of fee returns are calculated gross of management and custodial fees, and net of transaction costs. Net of fee returns are calculated net of management fees and transaction costs, and gross of custodian fees. As of 1/1/15, net of fee returns were calculated by deducting the maximum applicable advisory fee in effect, pro-rated on a monthly basis. From 1/1/08 to 12/31/14, net of fee returns were calculated by deducting the maximum applicable advisory fee in effect, pro-rated on a quarterly basis. Prior to this date, net of fees returns were calculated using actual annual client fees, pro-rated on a quarterly basis. From 1/1/98 – 9/30/98, net results are from a non-fee paying account, so a model fee, equivalent to the highest fee that could have been charged (0.65%), has been deducted. Thereafter, actual fees have been deducted.
- 4) The dispersion of annual returns is measured by the standard deviation across unweighted portfolio gross returns represented within the composite for the full year. Dispersion is not shown for years in which only one account is present for the entire year.
- 5) Valuations and returns are computed and stated in U.S. dollars. Performance is calculated net of withholding taxes on foreign dividends and interest. Dividend income is recorded on the ex-dividend date and interest income is recorded on the accrual basis. Total returns for accounts are presented using the accrual basis of accounting for all fixed income and equity investments and on a cash basis for all cash equivalents.
- 6) Segall Bryant & Hamill claims compliance with the Global Investment Performance Standards (GIPS®) and has prepared and presented this report in compliance with the GIPS standards. Segall Bryant & Hamill has been independently verified for the periods 1/1/84 – 12/31/16. Verification assesses whether the firm has complied with all the composite construction requirements of the GIPS standards on a firm-wide basis and the firm's policies and procedures are designed to calculate and present performance in compliance with the GIPS standards. The Mid Cap Value Dividend composite has been examined for the periods 12/31/03 – 12/31/16. The verification and performance examination reports are available upon request. A complete list and description of all firm composites is available upon request.
- 7) The maximum fee rate is 0.75%. Please reference Segall Bryant & Hamill's ADV for full fee schedule.
- 8) Policies for valuing portfolios, calculating performance and preparing compliant presentations are available upon request.
- 9) Past performance does not guarantee future results and future performance may be lower or higher than the performance presented.